

**COMPUTER/DIGITAL FORENSIC OFFICER (CDFO)**

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
1.	Naveen Kumar s/o Sh. Bihari Lal, Ward No.5, Kourian road, Dirba Mandi, Distt. Sangrur (Gen)	210500006754	Candidate requested to provide selection criteria and solid reason why his name not in the final list. Candidates obtaining lesser marks than him are in final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Assistant Professor in Electronics and Communication Engineering from 01/08/2011 to 03/09/2021, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in Computer/Digital Forensic field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Computer/ Digital Forensic Officer.
2.	Balwinder Singh s/o Janak Singh r/o 29, MCA 260, Friends Avenue, Majitha Road, Amritsar CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6864, dated: 15.11.2022	210500006741	To recheck and reconsider the final merit list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in Computer/Digital Forensic field: 1. Node Technician (Wireless and Wireline management) in a company from 01/08/2006 to 31/12/2010. 2. Junior engineer (Technical support) from 01/01/2011 to 31/08/2013. 3. Executive in the network department in a company from 02/09/2013 to 12/08/2014. 4. Craft instructor in Garment technology institute from 12/08/2014 to 06/09/2021.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Computer/ Digital Forensic Officer.
3.	Taranjeet Singh r/o 1037, Sector 11, Civil Hospital Road, Kharar, Distt. SAS Nagar (SC-R&O)	210500006837 <b>CWP (8)</b>	Requested to recheck his result.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Associate consultant in TCS from 11/06/2007 to 04/04/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in Computer/Digital Forensic field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Computer/ Digital Forensic Officer.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
4.	Anita Kumari w/o Rajiv Sharma, VPO, Dodwan, Tehsil Dinanagar, distt. Gurdaspur-143532 (EWS)  CPO Circular Br Diary No 6799 dated 15.11.2022 No.587-PA-Director-Bol dated 11.11.2022	210500006809	Reason for not considering in the provisional list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Programmer from 15/06/2013 to 21/11/2020 and Lecturer in Computer Engineering from 01/08/2006 to 30/04/2013, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in Computer/Digital Forensic field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Computer/ Digital Forensic Officer.
5.	Jagdish Bassi S/o Kishan Chand Bassi R/o Sunam, Distt Sangrur	210500006756	I scored 174 Marks but Candidates who scored less marks from me are selected.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in Computer/Digital Forensic field:  1. Lecturer in Computer Science Engineering from 26/09/08 to 08/12/08, 03/02/09 to 28/05/2009, 11/08/09 to 02/12/11, 19/11/11 to 09/04/12 and 16/07/12 to 29/08/12.  2. Assistant professor in Computer Science from 30/08/2015 to 29/08/2019.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Computer/ Digital Forensic Officer.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
6.	Dharminder Singh s/o Harbhajan Singh	210500006873 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	I scored 223 Marks please let me know the reason for not selected.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in Computer/Digital Forensic field: 1. Training assistant from 01/01/2011 to 31/05/2011. 2. Lecturer from 01/06/2011 to 14/01/2013. Also mentioned sessions from 18/08/11 to 18/11/11, 17/01/2012 to 03/05/2012 and 14/08/2012 to 22/11/2012. 3. Asst. professor in CSE from 15/01/2013 to 13/08/2014. 4. Director and HoD of school from 14/10/2014 onwards.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Computer/ Digital Forensic Officer.
7.	Jatinder Singh	210500006871	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in Computer/Digital Forensic field: 1. Court manager from 01/04/2011 - 05/04/2011. 2. IT Assistant in Punjab infotech from 19/02/2007 to 31/03/2010.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Computer/ Digital Forensic Officer.
8.	Jaspal Singh	210500006795 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as JTO in BSNL from 26/07/2008 to 04/04/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in Computer/Digital Forensic field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Computer/ Digital Forensic Officer.

**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OFFICER (ITO)**

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
1.	Nitish Arora s/o late Sh. Satpal Arora (EWS)	210500001897	His objection is that most selected candidates are working as Teachers or Professors, preference will given to those candidates who are working on latest digitalization software in place of teacher.	The candidate was already <b>Accepted</b> during the DV since the candidate has relevant experience of 7 years in the IT field as per details below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executive in the IT department in Trident Group from 31/12/2012 to 16/08/2019.</li> <li>Assistant Manager in IT (SAP) in Indian Acrylics Limited from 16/09/2019 to 08/08/2022.</li> </ol>	Rejected on account of the candidate securing less marks than cut off and all vacancies being filled by candidates with higher marks.
2.	Vinod Agnihotri s/o Raghbir Agnihotri r/o VPO Aliwal, distt. GSP.	210500000498 <b>CWP (7)</b> LN	To recheck and reconsider the final merit list	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as lecturer in Dept. Of Electronics from 16/07/2013 to 25/11/2013, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field. (Refer Note - 1)	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Officer (ITO)
3.	Sanjeev Kumar (SC-M&B)	210500002365	To recheck and reconsider the final merit list. Some candidates are in final & waiting list in reserve category despite my rank 2 <sup>nd</sup> rank in SC (M&B) category.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 1), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has relevant experience of 7 years in the IT field as per details below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Front end developer in INIZ Solutions from 10.01.2012 to 31.07.2015.</li> <li>Software developer in a Being Social Hub from 01.09.2015 to 30.09.2019.</li> </ol>	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
4.	Balwinder Singh s/o Janak Singh r/o 29, MCA 260, Friends Avenue, Majitha Road, Amritsar	210500000505	To recheck and reconsider the final merit list.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to teaching experience. However, on review (Refer Note - 1), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Craft instructor in Information and Communication Technology System Maintenance (ICTSM) in Govt. ITI from 12-08-2014 to 6 Sep 21, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
5.	Amandeep s/o Hira Lal r/o H.No.1/246 Heir Vihar Colony, Jail Road, Gurdaspur CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6865 dated 16.11.2022.	210500002838	Not shortlisted for provisional merit list as his experience is not acceptable.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Assistant Professor in Dept. Of Electronics from 17/12/2013 to 01/04/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Officer (ITO)
6.	Anmol Bansal S/o Mohan Lal Bansal R/o Ward No 3, Sunam, Tehsil Sunam, Distt Sangrur.	210500001884	He has obtained 252 marks in but has not been kept even in the waiting list whereas the candidate with less marks are selected in waiting list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Deputy Manager in Samsung, which cannot be established as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Officer (ITO).

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
7.	Jagdeep Singh	210500000492	I scored 239 number under the BC category but my name could not find in final list.	<p>Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has relevant experience of 7 years in the IT field as per details below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Desktop and Network engineer firm from 05/05/2014 to 24/02/2016.</li> <li>2. IT engineer in Ayush Audio Visual systems Pvt. Ltd. 03/03/2016 to 26/08/2016.</li> <li>3. Consultant- Engineer in Hitachi Systems from 01/09/2016 to 30/11/2017.</li> <li>4. IT support engineer in Randstad from 01/12/2017 to 26/06/2018.</li> <li>5. Project associate in an IT related project in MGSIPA from 27/06/2018 to 13/11/2020.</li> <li>6. Technical Assistant in Orion Safety and Security services Pvt. Ltd. from 19/11/2020 to 14/03/2021.</li> <li>7. Technical Assistant (IT) in Safest World Facilitators Pvt. Ltd. from 15/03/2021 to 14/03/2022.)</li> </ol>	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
8.	Zorawar Singh S/o Tarlok Singh R/o Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, Jail road Gurdaspur	210500002853	Inform the reason of rejection and re-examined.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has relevant experience of 7 years in the IT field as per details below:  1. IT specialist - Network security and maintenance in Serene Associates from 03/06/2013 to 31/05/2018.  2. IT specialist in LP Infotech from 01/08/2018 to 30/06/2021	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
9.	Akashdeep Singh Buttar r/o H.No.25, Street No. 30-C, Anand Nagar-B, Patiala (Gen)	210500003838	Request for recheck result.	<b>Rejected due to discrepancy in the documents</b> produced, as detailed under:  The candidate has experience from 20/09/2013 to 31/12/2020 but the experience certificate mentions designation as Technical Assistant at one place and Software Developer at another place. Further, Technical Assistant has been mentioned as the designation in salary slip and offer letter mentions designation as Software Developer. Due to the discrepancy in the documents the experience is being rejected.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Officer (ITO)

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
10.	Manjinder Singh	210500000494	Requested to provide reason for rejection in final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Foreman (Technician Telecommunication) in Indian Armed Forces(Army) from 24/03/2003 to 31/03/2019, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Officer (ITO)
11.	Sonika Sharma	210500003074 <b>CWP (8)</b>	To consider the experience gained as a Computer Teacher by the petitioner to be a relevant experience	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Computer teacher in school from 01/08/2006 to 21/12/2007.</li> <li>2. Computer teacher in school from 01/11/2009 to 01/11/11.</li> <li>3. Computer Instructor in school from 01/08/2012 to 28/02/2013 and from 01/04/2013 to 15/07/2013.</li> <li>4. Computer operator in school from 16/02/2014 to 20/09/2018.</li> </ol> (Refer Note - 1)	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Officer (ITO)
12.	Amardeep Singh	210500001846 <b>CWP (8)</b>	Requested to provide reason for his name not in the selected candidates list.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note -2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as an IT engineer in Stellar Infosys from 27/07/2009 to 31/10/2020, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.



SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
13.	Rajni	210500001858 <b>CWP (8)</b>	She scored more marks than the selected candidates. Requested to provide reason for her name not in the selected candidates list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Computer teacher in school from 12/04/2011 to 14/08/2012.</li> <li>2. PGT informatics practices from 27/08/2012 to 31/10/2018.</li> </ol> (Refer Note - 1)	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Officer (ITO)

**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ASSISTANT SOFTWARE (ITA-S)**

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
1.	Akashdeep Kaur D/o Meharjit Singh	210500004258 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Reconsider my result He got more marks than selected candidates but his name not in merit list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Corporate Travel Supervisor from 27/10/2017 to 31/12/2019, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.
2.	Amandeep Kaur	210500004237	I scored 160 marks but my name did not get in waiting list when two candidates with marks 152 & 153 are selected.	<b>Rejected</b> since the relevant experience is short by 1 day, as per details below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Receptionist and Computer Teacher in a study center from 01/03/2010 to 10/05/2012.</li> <li>2. Lecturer of Economics, Mathematics and Computer Application in college from 1/06/2009 to 30/05/2011 which is short of 2 years by 1 day.</li> <li>3. Management and Mathematics faculty from 01/06/2013 to 30/04/2018.</li> <li>4. PGT Mathematics, Economics and Business Studies in school from 1/04/2018 to 28/02/2019.</li> <li>5. PGT Economics in academy 01/04/2019 to 04/04/2022.</li> </ol> (Refer Note - 1)	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
3.	Amit Sharma	210500005274	Reason for not considering in the provisional list.	<p>The experience of the candidate was already <b>Accepted</b> during DV since the candidate has relevant experience of 2 years in the IT field as per details below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Network Engineer from 15/06/2008 to 10/06/2009 in Total Printer Solutions.</li> <li>2. Network engineer from 11/01/2014 to 31/03/2015 at Shri Sidh Total Solutions.</li> <li>3. Engineer at M/s V&amp;A Ventures LLP from 01/04/2015 to 05/10/2015.</li> <li>4. Associate (Network) at DataMax Marketing Consultants from 02/12/2015 to 19/05/2017.</li> </ol>	Rejected on account of the candidate securing less marks than cut off and all vacancies being filled by candidates with higher marks.
4.	Ashamdeep Singh	210500004140	I scored 223 Marks, I came to know that many candidates have been called who had qualified quite less marks than me in the test so please provide a valid reason for neglecting me even after qualifying the test and having required qualification as well as experience.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Computer programmer in abc computer center from 03/02/2014 to 22/07/2016, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
5.	Ashish Dugg S/o Sakahar Lal R/o VPO Nussi, Distt	210500005033	Reason of Rejection for final list and candidates who obtained less marks then me are selected.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
	Jalandhar.			<b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as a network administrator from 01/12/2018 - 31/12/2021 in Bhagwati Electronics, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
6.	Ashwani Mehta	210500004366	Requested to provide reason for his rejection as candidates scored lesser marks than him are selected.	Earlier experience as an IT Executive in Franciscan Solutions Private Limited was considered but it was incomplete. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has another experience as <b>IT Manager</b> cum PGT Computer Science in DPS Sangrur from 02/04/2018 to 06/04/2022, in which the experience as IT Manager is now being considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
7.	Bakhtawar Singh r/o H.No. 406, Garden Enclave, Amritsar. (OBC)	210500003975	Request for recheck result in view of his experience in IT sector.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience in the role of System Engineer in a company from 09/09/2019 - March, 2022 including experience as trainee, which is short of required minimum experience of 2 years in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.
8.	Balwinder Singh s/o Janak Singh r/o 29, MCA 260, Friends Avenue, Majitha Road,	210500004001	To recheck and reconsider the final merit list.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to teaching experience. However, on review (Refer Note - 1), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Craft instructor in Information and Communication	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
	Amritsar			Technology System Maintenance (ICTSM) in Govt. ITI from 12-08-2014 to 6-Sep-21, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	provisional Merit List.
9.	Bandana Rani d/o Sh. Vishav Kumar Dhand	210500005282	Got more marks than selected candidates in final merit list. Request to provide reasons for not selected in final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field: 1. Computer Teacher in Immigration institute 12/12/2008 - 02/02/2010. 2. Computer Teacher in school from 26/03/2017 - 13/02/2021. 3. Lab instructor (Academic Unit - Department) in University 16/02/2021 to 05/04/2022. (Refer Note - 1)	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.
10.	Bandna Kundal D/o Late. Tarsem Lal	210500005293	Applicant demanded that her name included in final list because she scored 120 marks.	<b>Rejected</b> as per details below: 1. Candidate has experience as Trainee Android Developer 18/10/2019 - 24/08/2020, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field since trainee experience is not being considered. 2. Candidate has experience as Industrial training from Jan 2018 to June 2018, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field. 3. Candidate has experience as Android developer in a company from 01/12/2020 for	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				one year till 2021, which is relevant but short of required minimum experience of 2 years in IT field.	
11.	Deepak Mehta s/o Sh. Ravi Kumar	210500004952 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Request for reconsider/recheck result in view of his experience in IT.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field: 1. Field Technician from 01/04/2019 to 23/08/2019. 2. Field Technician in another company from 24/08/2019 to 01/11/2021.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.
12.	Deepti Banyal	210500005281	I did not find my name in final merit list and waiting list, so I want to know whether any other waiting list is going to be displayed or not.	The experience of the candidate was already <b>Accepted</b> during DV since the candidate has relevant experience of 2 years in the IT field as per details below: 1. Software developer at Open Access Technology India Pvt. Ltd. from 16/10/2008 to 09/04/2010. 2. Project Associate in IT at Punjab Communication Limited from 20/09/2019 to 19/09/2020.	Rejected on account of the candidate securing less marks than cut off and all vacancies being filled by candidates with higher marks.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
13.	Dharminder Singh s/o Harbhajan Singh	210500005646 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Please let me know the reason for not selecting.	<p>Earlier the candidate's experience as Guest Faculty and experience as HOD of the IT department in a school was not considered. However, on review, the candidate's experience is being <b>Accepted</b> as per details below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Candidate has experience as Lecturer (Guest Faculty) in Comp Eng dept in polytech college from 18/08/2011 - 18/11/2011, 17/01/2012 - 03/05/2012 and 14/08/2012 - 22/11/2012, which can be considered as relevant experience IT.</li> <li>2. Candidate claims to have experience as Asst professor in DoCSE and IT from 14/01/2013, however experience certificate has not been provided.</li> <li>3. Candidate has experience as Director cum HOD of IT department in School from 01/04/2015 to 04/04/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.</li> </ol> <p>(Refer Note - 1)</p>	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
14.	Dilpreet Singh S/o Sukhjeet Singh r/o Harigarh, Sangrur	210500004502	Please let me know the reason for not selecting.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Supervisor DMS in a company from 02/07/2018 to 31/07/2020, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
	CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6773 dated 15.11.2022				provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.
15.	Ekta r/o # 182, A2, Opposite Park No.1, Tara Estate, Abohar	210500004383	Considered complete experience and reconsider my application.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to teaching experience. However, on review (Refer Note - 1), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as an Assistant professor in the Department of Computer Science from 21/07/2015 to 18/01/2019, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field. (Refer Note - 1)	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
16.	Gurinder Kaur (SC-R&O)	210500003964 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Candidate requested to provide selection criteria and solid reason why his name not in the final list. Candidates obtaining lesser marks than me are in final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Clerk cum DEO in PEPSU from 11/07/2017 to 09/02/2020, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.
17.	Gurinder Singh S/o Mukhtiar Singh	210500004382	Sports Quota Candidate & Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Software and programming Instructor in a computer center from 01/06/2018 to 31/05/2020, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field. (Refer Note - 1)	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information



SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
					Technology Assistant Software.
18.	Gurvinder Singh s/o Sh. Joginder Singh r/o New Model Town, Amloh Road, Khanna (SC-R&O) CPO Circular Br diary No. 6847, dated: 15.11.2022	210500004805	Requested to complete his documents verification as he is absent on days when documents verification held due to illness.	Experience <b>documents not provided</b> .	Candidate was called for Document Verification(August'2022) butCandidate was absent. This candidate was not considered for final provisional merit list.
19.	Harpal Singh	210500005697	Reason of Rejection for final list and candidates who obtained less marks then me are selected.	Earlier experience as an IT Assistant in Appzoinx Solutions Private Limited was considered but it was incomplete. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> as per details below:  1. Candidate has experience as Computer Operator in Randstad from 18/07/2016 to 30/04/2018, which cannot be considered as	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				<p>relevant experience in the IT field.</p> <p>2. Candidate has experience as IT Assistant in Narayana School from 01/09/2019 - 07/09/2021, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</p> <p>3. Candidate has experience as Android developer in ASPL from 10/06/2015 to 31/05/2016, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</p>	
20.	Harpreet Singh s/o Sh. Surinderpal Singh, r/o VPO Gururar, Tehsil Gidderbaha, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib. (SC-M&B) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6804 dated 15.11.2022	210500004455	Request for recheck result in view of his experience in IT sector.	<p>Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to teaching experience. However, on review (Refer Note - 1), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has relevant experience of 2 years in the IT field as per details below:</p> <p>1. Asst. Professor in computer application in University from 19/02/2018 to 21/08/2020.</p> <p>2. DeGC in PSU from 28/12/2020 to 06/04/2022.</p>	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
21.	Harry Gupta s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Gupta (Gen)	210500004482	Requested to provide reason for his rejection as candidates have lesser marks than him got selected.	Experience of the candidate was inadvertently left out. However, on review, the experience of the candidate has been <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has relevant experience of 2 years in the IT field as per details below:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Software engineer in Infogain India Pvt. Ltd. from 07/01/2016 to 14/01/2019 including training from 07/01/2016 to 06/10/2016.</li> <li>2. Senior Software Engineer in Newgen Software Technologies Limited from 15/01/2019 to 18/11/2019.</li> </ol>	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
22.	Harshpreet Singh S/o Gurmeet Singh R/o H.No 290, Medical enclave, Amritsar  CPO Circular Br diary No. 6780, dated 15.11.2022	210500003909  <b>CWP (7)</b>	Reason for rejection.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected since the experience was in a civil works project. However, on review of the representation and detailed deliberations, the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as MIS/Database executive in TEC consortium (Project management consultants) firm from 01/10/2014 to 30/06/2018, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
23.	Harvinder Singh S/o Harpal Singh R/o Jhumba, Distt Bathinda	210500004144	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> since the below-mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Clerk cum computer operator in an institute from 01/06/2014 to 31/01/2017. 2. Center head of firm's employment record in a educational and technical society from 01/03/2017 to 28/02/2020 3. Field investigator in university from 21/09/2020 to 31/01/2021.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
24.	Isha Rani R/o H.No 2169, Super Enclave, Sector 49C, Chandigarh.	210500005287	She has obtained 170 marks in but has not been kept even in the waiting list whereas the candidate with 168, 153, 152 marks are in main and waiting list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Senior Support Engineer Consumer in support department in a company from 10/01/2010 to 31/08/2017, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
25.	Jaskaran Singh	210500003956 <b>CWP (7)</b> <b>LN</b>	I scored 154 marks and applied in Ex-Serviceman (General). My name did not get in final merit list but candidates scored lower than me have been selected.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Computer technical assistant in New Bamrahbrothers firm from June 2019 to July 2021, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
26.	Jaskaran Singh s/o Harpal Singh	210500003917 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	I scored 205 marks kindly re-check my eligibility	<p><b>Rejected</b> since the relevant experience is short of 2 years as per details below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Candidate's experience as Customer Service executive in TATA from 09/09/2011 to 06/06/2012 cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</li> <li>2. Candidate's experience as O&amp;M engineer in Renovision Automation Services Pvt. Ltd. from 26/12/2013 to 15/05/2014 cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</li> <li>3. Candidate's experience as Computer operator in Randstad from 05/01/2017 to 05/07/2017 cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</li> <li>4. Candidate's experience as Network engineer in GPG Cattle Feed Pvt. Ltd. from 06/07/2017 to 15/01/2019 is relevant but short of the required minimum experience of 2 years in the IT field.</li> </ol>	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
27.	Jasvir Kaur r/o # 20209, Street No.18, Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda (BC) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6798 dated 15.11.2022	210500004136 <b>CWP (7)</b> <b>LN</b>	I got scored 117 marks in BC category but the candidates obtained same marks category have their name in final list, please added my name in the final merit list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Information Assistant in NHM under Health Department from 03/05/2013 to 04/04/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
28.	Jaswinder Kaur D/o Jeet Singh R/o Village Kalru, Tehsil Sultanpur Lodhi, Distt Kapurthala (SC-M&B)  CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6855 and 6859 dated: 15.11.2022	210500004962	Informed the reason of rejection and re-examined.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Clerk Cum Computer operator in Punjab Agro Industries Corporation Limited from 18/08/2018 to 02/02/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
29.	Jaswinderpal Kaur W/o Chamkaur Singh R/o Kheewa Patti Khara, Distt Faridkot. CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6774 dated 15.11.2022	210500004338	Reason of Rejection for the final selection list & Selected candidates on which basis.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Computer operator in a company from 07/09/2016 to 17/07/2018. 2. Computer operator from 03/08/2018 to 13/09/2021.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
30.	Kulveer Singh (BC)	210500004500	Scored 170 marks in written test. Candidates who scored 166 & 168 are selected but not applicant.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Computer operator from 03/07/2017 to 31/12/20. 2. Computer operator in IOCL from 01/01/2021 to 18/08/2021.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.
31.	Mandeep Kaur D/o Maninder Singh R/o VillKatorewala, Tehsil Malot, Distt Shri Mukatsar Sahib.	210500005226 <b>CWP (7)</b> <b>LN</b>	She obtained 140 marks and candidates who obtained less marks than her are selected & selection of candidates on which basis.	The experience of the candidate was accepted earlier also. <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has relevant experience of 2 years in the IT field as per details below:  1. IT Assistant in PUNJ (Deployed in a Govt. PSU) from 22/01//2019 to 31/03/2019. 2. IT Assistant in AKAL Info Systems	The experience of the candidate was accepted earlier also. Accepted since the candidate has relevant experience of 2 years in the IT field as per details below:  1. IT Assistant in PUNJ (Deployed in a Govt. PSU) from 22/01//2019 to 31/03/2019. 2. IT Assistant in AKAL Info Systems Ltd. (Deployed

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				Ltd.(Deployed in a Govt. PSU) from 01/04/2019 to 15/05/2021.	in a Govt. PSU) from 01/04/2019 to 15/05/2021. Earlier, the experience of the candidate was On Hold, was inadvertently rejected.
32.	Mandeep Singh s/o Sh. Prem Singh (SC-R&O) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6779 dated 15.11.2022	210500004323 <b>LN</b>	Request for recheck result in view of his experience in IT. One candidate (Roll No.210500004159) having experience in teaching as Lecturer.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship / teaching. However, on review Refer Note - 1 and 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has relevant experience of 2 years in the IT field as per details below:  1. Lecturer of Computer Application in a Technical College from Jan 2020 to Sept 2021. 2. Web programmer in Dev CYBERKAN Web development from 01/06/2011 to 02/12/2011.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
33.	Maninder Singh	210500005336	I scored 204 Marks in General category but although the cut of general category is 188. The deptt. should have informed me about any objection.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as PHP developer in Cybertron technologies from 07/09/2015 to 06/09/2017, which can be considered	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.



SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				as relevant experience in the IT field.	
34.	Manjit Kaur D/o Dilbag Chand r/o Rayya, Dist. Amritsar	210500003989 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	She stated that she have genuine experience but not selected. Reserved post for women SC category not fulfill. Low marks student were selected.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as a computer operator from 1/04/2017 to 30/06/2020, which cannot be considered relevant experience in IT.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
35.	Manjit Kaur w/o Sh. Manjinder Singh r/o VPO Jahangir, Tehsil Khadoor Sahib, Distt. TarnTaran (BC)	210500004013	Request for recheck her result in view of her IT sector experience. Candidates obtaining lesser marks than me are in final list.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to teaching experience. However, on review (Refer Note - 1), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Computer faculty in CAL-C centre under Punjab Infotech from 01/07/2014 to 30/04/2018, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
36.	Manpreet Kaur	210500003942 <b>CWP (7)</b> <b>LN</b>	She have 2 year experience of IT but not selected.	<b>Rejected</b> as per details below: 1. Candidate has experience as Computer instructor and web designer in institute from April 2009 to 05/12/2009, which is short of required minimum experience of 2 years in IT field. 2. Candidate has experience as CRE in Mahindra from 20/04/2014 to 30/04/2016, which is not clear whether the experience is in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
37.	Manpreet Singh	210500005590	I got scored 144 marks in SC (M&B) category but my name did not find in the final merit list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field: 1. Office Assistant (Applied Science) in Chandigarh University from 12/04/2011 to 21/12/2016. 2. Office Assistant in CU from 05/04/2017 (only appointment letter submitted).	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
38.	Navdeep Singh Sahota S/o Preetam Singh Sahota R/o City Batala CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6863 dated	210500003940	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Software Developer in a RAAVV digital marketing from 02/03/2017 to 04/04/2019, which can be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
	15.11.2022 and 7013 dated 21.11.2022				
39.	Mintu @ Naveen Kumar (SC-R&O)	210500005041	Requested to recheck his result. Candidates obtaining lesser marks than him are in final list.	<b>Rejected</b> as the candidate does not have complete relevant experience, as per details below: 1. Candidate has been a Trainee as a part of study from 04/03/2020 - 12/08/2021, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field. 2. Candidate has experience as Computer lab assistant in department of CS and IT from 10/08/2018 to 10/08/2019, which is relevant but short of required minimum experience of 2 years in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
40.	Navjot Kaur D/o Kewal Singh R/o Mahuwana, Tehsil Fazilka, Distt Fazilka	210500004364	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to teaching experience. However, on review (Refer Note - 1), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Lecturer in the Computer Department in polytech college from 11/08/2015 to 31/08/2017, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
41.	Navneet Kaur D/o Bohar Singh R/o Chakmahantawa la, Tehsil Jalalabad, Distt Fazilka	210500004838	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field: 1. School Teacher (PGT IP) from 14/07/2016 to 13/05/2017. 2. School Teacher (PGT IP) from 14/07/2017 to 14/05/2018. 3. School Teacher (PGT IP) from 14/07/2018 to 21/12/2018. 4. FCSA in school from 11/07/2019 to 31/03/2020	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
42.	Pawandeep Kaur d/o Sh. Baldev Singh r/o Vill. Ghulakwind, PO Kacha Pacca, Tehsil Patti, Distt. TarnTaran (SC-R&O) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6858, dated: 15.11.2022	210500004005	Requested to provide reason for her rejection as candidates scored lesser marks than her are in merit list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Clerk in school from 05/04/2015 to 08/07/2021, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
43.	Rahul Sulakhia s/o Sh. Kuldeep Singh (SC-R&O) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6802 dated 15.11.2022	210500004866	Candidate requested to provide reason why his name not in the final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as School Teacher (PGT, CS) from 21/07/2017 to 31/03/2018 and 09/04/2018 to 05/04/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.  (Refer Note - 1)	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
44.	Ram Chand S/o Surjeet Ram R/o Vill Dhedo, Distt Bathinda	210500004305	I scored 104 marks, but candidates who scored less marks than me are selected. Please recheck and consider me.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience in CSC as Banking facilitator, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
45.	Raman Kumar	210500004936	I got scored 211 marks in SC (R&O) category but I have not been selected in this final merit list and please give me a solid reason for my non-selection.	<b>Rejected</b> as the candidate does not have complete relevant experience, as per details below: 1. Experience as lecturer in electronics and Communication in university from 19/09/2007 to 29/07/2010 not relevant to the IT field. 2. Experience as Senior faculty in embedded systems from 01/06/2006 to 30/08/2007 is relevant but short of required 2 years. 3. Experience as Trainee design engineer (hardware) from Oct 2005 to May 2006 is not being considered since it is training experience.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
46.	Reetika d/o Sh. Raj Kumar r/o H.No. 1096/21, Panchkula CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6795 dated 15.11.2022	210500005312 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Request for recheck her result. Candidates obtaining lesser marks than her are in final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Data Assistant in NHM from 01/11/2013 to 12/12/2014. 2. Data processing Assistant in NHM from 12/12/2014 to 27/06/2019. 3. Project Associate in Social welfare, women and child development from 28/06/2019 to 30/09/2021.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
47.	Satnam Singh s/o Seetal Singh	210500005038	Requested to reconsider his result.	<b>Rejected</b> as the candidate does not have complete relevant experience, as per details below:  1. Experience as an IT executive in a company from 02/09/2014 to 31/03/2015 is relevant but is short of the required minimum experience of 2 years in the IT field. 2. Experience as Team Leader in the same company from 01/04/2015 to 29/02/2016 cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field. 3. Experience as Coordinator in the same company from 01/03/2017 to 31/03/2018 cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				<p>4. Experience as e-Care Area Admin trainee from 01/09/2017 to 31/03/2018 cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</p> <p>5. Experience as eCare Area Admin from 01/04/2018 to 18/05/2019 cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</p>	
48.	<p>Sherpal Kaur D/o Sukhpal Singh R/o VPO Fatuhai Khera, Distt Shri Mukatsar Sahib. (EWS) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6797 dated 15.11.2022</p>	<p>210500004583 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b></p>	<p>I Scored 183 marks in EWS category. I fulfill all criteria but my name is not in selected list.</p>	<p><b>Rejected</b> as the relevant experience is short of required 2 years:</p> <p>1. Experience as a Computer Operator in a training centre from 01/08/2016 to 31/05/2019 cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</p> <p>2. Experience as ATL instructor in school from 17/07/2019 to 19/03/2020, 01/07/2021 to 06/09/2021, 20/10/2020 to 31/03/2021 and 07/09/2021 to 30/11/2021 can be considered as relevant experience in IT field but is short of required 2 years.</p>	<p>The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software</p>

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
49.	Shiwangi d/o Sh. Rajesh Kumar, W.No.11, Mohalla Prem Nagar, Hardochanni Road, Opp. Power House, Gurdaspur CPO Circular Br Diary No 6792, dated 15.11.2022	210500005012	I scored 201 marks in General category I want to know my reason for rejection in the Final result.	Based on additional documents submitted, the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Assistant Software Engineer from TCS from 01.01.2017 to May'22 including 12 months of training from the date of joining, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
50.	Simranjit Singh Sohal s/o Sh.Labh Singh r/o #3H, Shagun Vihar, Chaura, Patiala (BC)	210500005570	I got scored 185 marks in BC category kindly clarify the reason for not being selected for this post .	Earlier the candidate's experience of a part time job was not considered. However, on review, the candidate's experience is being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Computer operator and <b>Software developer Assistant</b> (Part time job) at Gramin RojgarKoshal Vikas Centre from 02/07/2018 to 29/09/2020, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.



SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
51.	Shubhneet Kaur r/o Sher Villa, Village Khokher, via Tanda Urmur, Hoshiarpur	210500004836	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Software developer from 07/01/2019 to 30/09/2021 in Softbuild solutions, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
52.	Sukhpreet Singh s/o Babu Singh VPO- Pitho Distt. Bathinda.	21050004165	Reason for not considering in the provisional list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Postman from 12/08/2014 and still working, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field and also the total duration of work is unclear.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
53.	Sukhvir Kaur d/o Sh. Gurmail Singh r/o Vill. Maniuaana, Tehsil Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda (EWS) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6931 dated 18.11.2022.	210500004579	Requested to provide reason for her rejection and recheck result as candidates have lesser marks than her got selected.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Computer Operator in a company from 19/08/2016 to 31/07/2018. 2. Computer Operator in a company from 01/08/2018 to 07/12/2019.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
54.	Sursumel Singh r/o Q-9, Punjabi University Campus, Patiala (SC-M&B) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6881, 6778 and 6790 dated 15.11.2022	210500005636  <b>CWP (7)</b>	Request for recheck result as I am not in final merit list despite of my first merit position in SC (M&B) category.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Assisting in court and legal matters in the courts office from 02/08/2013 to (to date is not clear, 12/12/20--).  2. Digital marketing executive in a company from 25/10/2019 to 01/04/2022.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
55.	Swaran Kaur d/o Sh. Jeet Singh r/o Kalu Araian Hithar, Distt. Ferozepur (SC-R&O)	210500004040	Requested to provide reason for her rejection and selection criteria. She is mentioned the name of selected candidate Sadhvi Bhagat d/o Balwant Singh, Roll No. 210500005360.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Data entry operator cum Computer teacher in a institute from 11/04/2018 to 25/02/2021, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
56.	Teena Wadhwa D/o Raj Kumar R/o Peer BannaBanoi Road, Street No 3, H.No 147, Sunam, Distt Sangrur	210500004598	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> as the candidate does not have complete relevant experience, as per details below:  1. Experience as Junior developer/trainer from 15/06/2017 to 30/04/2018 in Rapid Software Service, which is short of required minimum experience.  2. Experience as TGT-Computer in school from 13/07/2015 to 31/03/2016, 06/04/2016 to	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				31/05/2017, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	
57.	Tejinder Pal Singh	210500004163	Candidate requested to provide reason why his name not in the final list. Candidates obtaining lesser marks than me are in final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as weeding assistant in O/o district and sessions judge, Bathinda from 02/08/2018 to 22/02/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
58.	Varinder Singh S/o Jaswant Singh	210500004443 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience working as Consultant in the capacity of District Manager in CSC 2.0 project from 03/06/2019 to 06/04/2022, which is not clear whether the experience is in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
59.	Vijay Kumar Pal S/o Ram Aadhar Pal R/o H.No 2221/2, Issa Nagri, Street No 1, Near CMC Hospital, Ludhiana (SC-R&O) CPO Circular Br Diary	210500005032 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Reason of Rejection for the final list and candidates who obtained less marks than me are selected on which basis.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the required experience of 2 years in IT field is being fulfilled as per below details: 1. Jr. Web developer in a W3SOLS from 21/03/2018 to 30/09/2019. 2. Front end developer in Flymedia Technology from 07/10/2019 to 16/03/2020.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
	No. 6772 dated 15.11.2022.			3. UI and UX developer in Always Infotech Private Limited from 27/05/2020 to 30/03/2022.	
60.	Vinod Agnihotri S/o Raghubir Agnihotri R/o Aliwal Arraiyan, Tehsil Batala, Distt Gurdaspur.	210500004017 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Lecturer in Electronics and Communication in a university from 16/07/2013 to 25/11/2014 and 26/11/2014 to 01/04/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
61.	Vishant Sharma r/o Bank colony Bathinda	210500004385	I scored 188 marks in General Category but the candidates obtained less marks from me have their name in Final list, my name did not get in merit final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Asst. Professor in Electronics and Communication in college from 08/01/2013 to 21/08/2017.  2. Facilitator at Classteacher learning systems from 01/09/2017 to 31/03/2018.  3. LDC with additional charge of School IT Admin Incharge in from 02/04/2018 to 31/03/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field as it is not a full time charge.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
62.	Vivek Bansal S/o Surinder Bansal	210500004308	Reason of Rejection for final list and candidates who obtained	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Operation executive in a company from 23/02/2015	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
	R/o H.No B-X/117, Street No-3, Prem basti, Sangrur (EWS-Gen) CPO Circular Br Diary No. 6787, dated 15.11.2022		less marks then me are selected.	to Feb, 2022 as per letter of intent of the company and Salary slips submitted, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
63.	Vivek Singh r/o # 362, Milk Colony, Dhanas, Chandigarh	210500005611 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Requested to recheck result in view of his experience in IT sector.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Project Assistant Level- II in CSIR from 10/02/2012 to 30/09/2014 as part of study, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
64.	Zeenat Begam	210500005333 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	I scored 160 marks but candidates selected whose scored lower rank than me.	<b>Rejected</b> as the Candidate has experience as Jr. PHP Developer in designerXfromAug, 2014 to Sept 2016 and the appointment letter mentions joining as Trainee PHP web Developer however the exact duration of training period is not mentioned. A period of 4-6 months has been mentioned as a probation period.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
65.	Zorawar Singh S/o Tarlok Singh R/o Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, Jail road Gurdaspur	210500005065	Informed the reason of rejection and re-examined.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as IT specialist (Network security and Maintenance) in a LP Infotech from 01/08/2018 to 30/06/2021, which can be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
66.	Mandeep Kaur D/o Inder Singh	210500004955  <b>CWP (7)</b>	I scored 207 marks in ex-serviceman general (dependant) & requested to consider.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to teaching experience. However, on review (Refer Note - 1), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Assistant professor in computer science in a university from 20/08/2014 to 29/05/2015. 20/07/2015 to 13/05/2016, 18/07/2016 to 31/05/2017, 14/08/2017 to 31/05/2018, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
67.	Sunil Kumar S/o Des Raj	210500004937	I scored 148 marks which are more than 3 above selected candidates but my name is not in the list of selected candidates.	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Marketing Advisor in a firm from 22/11/2009 to 10/11/2013. 2. Sales officer in HDFC bank from 11/09/2014 to 23/06/2017. 3. Assistant Manager in Kotak bank from	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				09/11/2017 to 10/01/2019.	
68.	Paramjeet Verma	210500004989	Candidate stated that his marks 204 greater than selected candidate, explain the reason of non selection.	<p><b>Rejected</b> as the candidate does not have complete relevant experience, as per details below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Experience as Associate trainee in a company from 05/01/2015 to 15/05/2015, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</li> <li>2. Experience in W3SOLS from 01/03/2018 to 31/03/2019, however designation has not been mentioned.</li> <li>3. Experience as IT executive in Business view India from 01/09/2020 to 30/04/2021, which is short of required minimum experience.</li> </ol>	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
69.	Jagjeet Singh S/o Davinder Singh R/o Sangatpura, P.OJhalliankalan , Distt Ropar.	210500005334 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	Candidate stated that his marks 200 greater than selected candidate, explain the reason of non selection.	<p>Experience of the candidate as Asst. System Manager in Suvidha Kendra was inadvertently left out. However, on review, the experience of the candidate has been <b>Accepted</b> since the required experience of 2 years in IT field is being fulfilled as per below details:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. System administrator in a company from 07/05/2018 to 21/08/2019</li> <li>2. IT analyst in the O/o Joint Director (Investigation) Jalandhar Zone from 09/04/2021 to 01/09/2021</li> <li>3. Asst. System Manager in Suvidha Kendra from</li> </ol>	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid.Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				16/11/2012 to 06/09/2016.	
70.	Rupinder Kaur D/o Malkeet Singh	210500004784	I got selected in ex-serviceman scored 172 in SC category	The candidate's experience was already <b>Accepted</b> since the required experience of 2 years in IT field is being fulfilled as per below details 1. Experience as Assistant Professor of Computer Application in College from 08/02/2018 to 20/08/2019. 2. Experience as Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer Science in a university from 22/08/2019 to 29/05/2020 and 10/08/2020 to 31/05/2021.	Candidate has filled up her Application Form under Applying Category of Ex-Servicemen Scheduled Caste (SC) (RO) Dependent. On account of no ex-servicemen candidate being available, dependent candidate was considered and selection under ex-servicemen SC RO category.
71.	Gurlal Singh	210500004129	Reason for not considering in the provisional list	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Weeding Clerk in the O/o District and Sessions Judge, Bathinda from 02/08/2018 to 22/02/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software



SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
72.	Ramanjeet Kumari	210500003919	I scored 130 marks but candidates selected whose scored lower rank than me, clarification of selection criteria.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Software engineer in Rock IT Solutions from 01/06/2014 to 01/04/2022, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.
73.	Gurmeet Kaur r/o Village Ladpalwan tehsil & district Pathankot	210500005067 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	I have been called for document verification whereas my name is not in selected final list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as a Computer operator in a firm from 05/06/2018 to 28/10/2020, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
74.	Sunilam d/o Sat Pal r/o Village Mirpur, City Jalandhar.	210500004979	Please inform reason of rejection	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Billing Supervisor in Gas agency from September 2016 to 04/04/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
75.	Neetu Singh s/o Darshan Singh	210500005527	Requested to provide details of experience counted for the post and reconsider result in view of my experience.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Technical Support/Computer Operator in a training and placement centre from 24/01/2018 to 08/04/2020, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
76.	Sakshi d/o Vinod Gupta r/o H.No. 48, Prem Nagar, College road, Pathankot.	210500005126 <b>CWP (7)</b> <b>LN</b>	Reason for rejection as candidates with lower have been shortlisted. (as in CWP)	<b>Rejected</b> as the candidate does not have complete relevant experience, as per details below: 1. Experience as Computer operator and front end developer in an institute from 10/07/2011 to 10/06/2012, which is short of the required minimum experience of 2 years in the IT field. 2. Candidate has experience as Soft Skill trainer in dept. of career and planning department of Chandigarh university from 02/07/2018 to 17/07/2019, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field. 3. Experience as IELTS trainer from 06/09/2017 to 15/06/2018, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field. 4. Experience as Soft skills and personal development trainer for the session 2016-17, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
				<p>5. Experience as Back Office executive in a company from July 2015 to 16/08/2016, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</p> <p>6. Experience as Industrial training from January to 2015 to June 2015 as a part of study, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.</p>	
77.	Rishi Singh s/o Jaspal Singh r/o House No. 54642, Gali No. 02, Guru Amardass Colony, Naraingarh Chheharta, Amritsar.	210500003891 <b>CWP (7)</b> <b>LN</b>		<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has done industrial training in Front Desk in a hotel from 05/10/2018 to 12/10/2020, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
78.	Shiv Kumar	210500005526 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	He scored more marks than selected candidates but his name not in the merit list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Executive - Operations in Airtel from 20/08/2009 to 07/11/2014, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
79.	Ayusha Sehgal	210500004759 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	He scored more marks than selected candidates but his name not in the merit list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as System engineer in TATA from 07/02/2019 to 28/03/2022 including 1 year as a ASE- trainee, which is short of required minimum experience of 2 years in IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
80.	Reetu Pal	210500004804 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	He scored more marks than selected candidates but his name not in the merit list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as freelancer (data processing work) in a consultancy firm from 01/02/2019 to 31/03/2022, which cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
81.	Krishan Singh	210500003979 <b>CWP (8)</b> <b>LN</b>	He scored more marks than selected candidates but his name not in the merit list.	Earlier the candidate's experience was rejected due to experience in unorganized sector / proprietorship. However, on review (Refer Note - 2), the candidate's experience is now being <b>Accepted</b> since the candidate has experience as Software engineer in SLR technologies from 16/03/2016 to 24/09/2019, which can be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.	As per the opinion of the Domain Expert, the experience was earlier rejected. However, on review the Domain Expert has accepted the experience as valid. Hence, the candidate has been considered during preparation of revised provisional Merit List.

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
82.	Mandeep Kaur w/o Bhupinder Singh r/o VPO Sadana, Distt. Bathinda (SC- M&B)	210500004580  <b>LN</b>	Out of 6 shortlisted candidates reserved for SC(Balmiki/Majibi Sikh) women only 1 candidate has been selected. No reason has been given for not selecting the candidate (Mandeep Kaur)	<b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:  1. Computer operator in a company from 11/10/2019 to 01/04/2022. 2. Computer teacher in a school from 23/08/2012 to 31/08/2013.  (Refer Note - 1)	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
83.	Manjit Kumar s/o Sh Ravinder Kumar r/o Ward No.02, Ghanaur, Patiala, Punjab- 140702. (SC- R&O)	210500005606  <b>LN</b>	Being higher in merit has not been selected in the final selected candidate.	<b>Rejected due to discrepancy in the documents</b> produced, detailed as under:  The candidate has experience as Technical Assistant (Hardware and Networking) in a school from 01/04/2019 to 01/08/2022 as per experience certificate. However, the salary certificate mentions designation as Computer Operator and technical Assistant (Software and hardware) which is different from that mentioned in the experience certificate. Due to the discrepancy in designation in the documents the experience is being rejected.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software
84.	Parmeet Singh s/o Sh. Jasvinder Singh	210500004562	Requested to add his name in waiting list.	<b>Rejected</b> since the candidate has experience as Computer Operator from 02/04/2017 to 04/04/2022 in Gram SewaSahakari Samiti, which cannot be considered relevant experience in the IT field.	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in

SN	Name of the candidate	Roll No	Objection raised by the candidate	Remarks of DGRPG regarding experience	Comments as per Record
					provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software.
85.	Rajni	210500004207	She scored more marks than the selected candidates. Requested to provide reason for her name not in the selected candidates list.	<p><b>Rejected</b> since the below mentioned experience cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Computer teacher in school from 12/04/2011 to 14/08/2012.</li> <li>2. PGT informatics practices from 27/08/2012 to 31/10/2018.</li> </ol>	The experience submitted by the candidate was found to be irrelevant by the Domain Expert during the process of document verification and therefore the candidate did not qualify for consideration in provisional merit list for the post of Information Technology Assistant Software

## Notes:

- 1) Comments regarding teaching experience:
  - a) The teaching experience in diploma courses or higher in technical colleges / universities (Govt. or private) like Professor, Lecturer, Lab instructor is being considered as relevant experience in the IT field due to the following reasons:
    - i) Based on representations received, a **wider meaning of relevant experience in the IT field** is being taken, since in diploma or higher courses, the individual is expected to be in touch with advanced subjects of computer science and IT fields like operating systems, databases, languages used in software development, computer networks, computer algorithms, data structures, computer graphics, object oriented programming, etc. In addition to the probable IT lab experience.
    - ii) Documents of all candidates who have cleared the cut off, apart from the above list of candidates, as provided by the recruiting agency are already being re-examined as per the decision of the recruitment board meeting dt.11/01/2023 to give a fair chance to the candidates.
  - b) The teaching / lab instructor experience in school (upto +2 level) cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field since the curriculum taught in school is of very basic nature and does not qualify for relevant experience in the IT field.
  - c) The teaching experience in institutes (except govt. ITIs), coaching centers, etc. cannot be considered as relevant experience in the IT field since the subjects taught and the level of teaching involved is not enough to be considered as relevant experience in the IT field.
- 2) Comments regarding private experience: Earlier the experience in private unorganized sector / proprietorship was not accepted. However, on review and based on the representations received, a **wider meaning of relevant experience in the private sector in the IT field** is being taken, subject to verification of employment by the recruiting agency using Form 16, salary slips, bank statements, etc.
- 3) The remarks have been given based on the documents provided by the recruiting agency.
- 4) The decisions taken in the Board meetings have been referred to while writing the remarks.
- 5) Verification of employment is to be done by the recruiting agency using Form 16, salary slips, bank statements, etc. as per the decision taken in the board meeting dated 11/01/2023.